RST 3 TASK ORDER EVALUATION

Removal Support Team 3, Contract # EP-S2-14-01 - Weston Solutions Inc.

Task Order/TDD Number: 00070012-04

Task Order/TDD Amount: \$44,154.93

Period of Performance: From: July 01, 2016 To: June 30, 2017

Brief Description of Work:

Holy Trinity Cemetery (HTC) Assessment: A23M. For the majority of this Period of Performance Weston provided a lead technician and one technician on average during this time range. The majority of the duties included: in-field radiological assessment, soil/sludge sampling, swipe and radiological clearance of equipment and storage containers, Radeco Air sampling, particulate air sampling, data collection, data product creation, photo documentation and equipment inventory tracking during assessment activities. Also requested was one Radon Specialist to support the field aspect of the radiological assessment; specifically identifying the radon canister placement, placing the canisters, picking up the canisters and delivering to the laboratory for analysis.

Section I – TDD Performance Evaluation

Please respond to the following 7 questions below based on the following numerical rating scale:

0 = Unsatisfactory,

1 = Poor

2 = Fair.

3 = Good

4 = Excellent

5 = Outstanding,

N/A = Not Applicable

1. QUALITY OF SERVICES DELIVERED:

a. Rate the contractor's performance in complying with contract requirements, quality achieved, and overall technical expertise demonstrated.

Rating: 5

Remarks: Contractor complied with requirements set forth within the contract, conducted quality work and provided a high level of technical expertise.

b. Rate the contractor's performance in submitting reports and documentation that are accurate, complete and submitted in a timely manner.

Rating: 5

Remarks: All requested and required documents were submitted to the EPA in an acceptable timeframe and were generated with accurate and complete information.

c. Rate the contractor's key personnel (technical expertise, management capabilities).

Rating: 5

Remarks: Contractor personnel performed appropriately to the conditions presented by the Site. All personnel provided the necessary level of technical expertise required to successfully completed the tasks.

d. Rate the contractor's key personnel response to technical direction by government.

Rating: 5

Remarks: All involved personnel responded appropriately to direction given by the OSC. The Weston Lead has been excellent throughout.

2. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT:

Rate the contractor's ablity to solve contract performance problems, including subcontractor performance problems, without extensive guidance from government.

Rating: 5

Remarks: Contractor was able to successfully solve problems resulting from any performance issues prior to a need for government intervention.

3. INITIATIVE IN MEETING CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS:

Rate the contractor's display of initiative in meeting requirements.

Rating: 5

Remarks: Contractor demonstrated strong will in meeting requirements outlined in the scope of work and successfully met such requirements.

4. TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE:

Rate the contractor's ability to meet project schedules.

Rating: 5

Remarks: Overall scheduled work products were successfully met.

5. COST CONTROL:

a. Rate the contractor's display of initiative in controlling overall Task Order/TDD costs.

Rating: 5

Remarks: Contractor was efficient in controlling costs.

b. Rate the contractor's ability to track costs and provide accurate, complete and timely tracking reports.							
Rating: 5							
Remarks: Cost tracking reports were generated on a weekly basis and were consistently accurate.							
c. Rate the contractor's performance in submitting billings that were current, accurate and complete.							
Rating: N/A							
Remarks: N/A							
6. BUSINESS PRACTICES:							
Rate the contractor's ability in coordinating and cooperating with the government.							
Rating: 5							
Remarks: Coordination of resources, objectives, and task completions were successfully conducted between contractor and government. Overall cooperation between parties was outstanding.							
7. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:							
Rate the contractor's overall performance.							
Rating: 5							
Remarks: Overall performance displayed by contractor was outstanding.							
Overall Score: 5							
Remarks:							
Section II -RST 3 QASP -TO EVALUATION							
Please mark the appropriate response to the following ten questions:							
1. EMERGENCY RESPONSE a. If there was an emergency response action, did the Required Contractor Personnel deploy within 2 hours of emergency response notification or such time agreed upon by the contractor and the OSC?							
[] Yes [] No [X] Not applicable							
Remarks:							
2. ASSESSMENT/REMOVAL							

a. Did the Contract	or submit complete QA	APPS?			
[X] Yes	[] No	[] Not applicable			
b. Did the performa	ance sampling and anal	ysis tasks adhere to all QA/QC and chain of custody procedures?			
[X] Yes	[] No	[] Not applicable			
Remarks:					
3. COST ACCO	DUNTING				
Did the Contractor s	ubmit timely and accur	rate invoices?			
[] Yes	[] No	[X] Not applicable			
Remarks:					
4. SITE SAFETY Was the Site s safety plan developed by the Contractor approved as submitted, or with only one round of revisions necessary?					
[X] Yes	[] No	[] Not applicable			
Remarks:					
5. COST CONTROL					
Did the Contractor display initiative in controlling overall TDD costs?					
[X] Yes	[] No	[] Not applicable			
Remarks:					
	RATIVE RECORDS accurately compile all A	Administrative Records in a timely manner? [] Not applicable			
Remarks:					
7. ENVIRONMENTALLY PREFERABLE PRACTICES Did the Contractor employ any Environmentally Preferable Practices for the site?					
[X] Yes	[] No	[] Not applicable			
Remarks:					

8. PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES

Were all review comments and analysis of contingency plans prepared in a complete, accurate and timely manner?

[X]	Yes	[] No	[] Not applicable	
Remarks:						
 9. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT a. Did the Contractor effectively manage the Core Response Team to maximize utilization of personnel and minimize cost? 						
[X]	Yes	[] No	[] Not applicable	
b. Did the contractor properly track costs and provide accurate and timely cost accounting reports?						
[X]	Yes	[] No	[] Not applicable	
c. Was work at the Site delayed due to issues with a subcontractor?						
[]	Yes	[X	.] No	[] Not applicable	
Rema	rks:					
10. SUBCONTRACTING Were the Contractor's subcontract consent packages accurate and complete as submitted?						
[X]	Yes	[] No	[] Not applicable	
Eric M. Daly						
USEPA Region 02 On-Scene Coordinator						
O7/27	5M.\\)/2017	LQ.	+			